Inlet And Adjacent Shoreline
Processes at Cascading Time
Scales Using the Coastal
Modeling System and GenCade




Research Physical Scientist Coastal & Hydraulics Lab, ERDC Vicksburg, MS

### **Kelly Legault**

Coastal Engineer
HH&C, Jacksonville District
Jacksonville, FL



US Army Corps of Engineers
BUILDING STRONG®



maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	s regarding this burden estimate ormation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE JUL 2012		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2012	ERED 2 to 00-00-2012		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Inlet And Adjacent Shoreline Processes at Cascading Time Scales Using the Coastal Modeling System and GenCade			ne Scales Using	5b. GRANT NUMBER			
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
				5e. TASK NUMBER			
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
U.S. Army Enginee	ZATION NAME(S) AND AE er Research and Dev tory,3909 Halls Fer	velopment Center, C		8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	G ORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES						
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>	Same as Report (SAR)	20			


**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188



### Overview






- Regional Sediment Management Principles
- Background Information & Historical Change of St. Augustine Inlet
- Recent Activity at Inlet & Present Conditions
  - Problem Statement: Influence of Dredging on Inlet & Adjacent Beach Dynamics
    - Application of the Coastal Modeling System (CMS) to Both Verify and Predict How Dredging Activities Affect the Inlet
    - Application of GenCade to Determine Optimal Sediment Management Scenarios based upon Shoreline Response



# Regional Sediment Management Approach









#### **RSM Operating Principles**


- Recognize sediment as a regional resource connect beaches & inlets
- Evaluate use of all sediment sources & sinks
- Optimize operational efficiencies & natural exchange of sediments
- Balanced, economically viable, environmentally sustainable solutions
- Improve economic performance by linking multiple interacting projects
- Consider regional impacts
- Adaptively manage





# The Navigation Project and Erosional Hotspot









# Planned and Historic District Activity in Last Decade



#### St. Augustine Ebb-shoal Mining



1998 - Bathymetry



1998 - 2003 Difference

#### St. Augustine Beach Shore Protection Project

Project	Volume	Placeme	Placement
	Placed (CY)	nt Area	Length
2003		R-145 to	1.1 miles
Phase 1	4.2 mcy	R-151	1.1 1111162
	4.2 IIICy	T-132 to	
Phase 2		R-151	3.6 miles
2005 <b>2.8</b> mcy	2 9 100 014	R-137A	2.6 miles
	2.0 IIICy	to R-151	2.0 1111165

Legault et al., 2012

#### Vilano Beach Feasibility Study

Project	Volume	Placement	Placement
	Placed (CY)	Area	Length
Proposed Project	880 kcy	R-109 to R-120	2.0 miles





#### **Problem Statement**



Investigate optimal dredging volumes and intervals, and determine the beach placement volume and interval that will adequately supply sand to maintain two Shore Protection Projects in St. Johns County.

#### **Questions:**


What is the volumetric limit (cubic yards of sediment) that can be mined regularly from the ebb shoal in its present condition which does not cause a significant long-term effect on the morphology and volumetric recovery of the shoal?

How much sediment and what nourishment interval is required to maintain present volume of the active and planned Shore Protection Projects?




## The Coastal Modeling System (CMS) at St. Augustine Inlet





#### Analysis to Conduct:


- Volumetric change of ebb shoal
- Planform change of ebb shoal
- Shoreline position







### Comparison to the 1.5 MCY Removed


















## Ebb Shoal Collapse in the 4.0 MCY Removed Scenario





- Certain conditions collapse the active shoal through disruption of natural sand pathways
  - by reducing its depth either through deflation or collapse
  - and/or reducing its planform area



 Functionality of the updrift channel margin shoal is crucial – needed to sustain channelized flow to maintain typical bypassing





## Dredging Boundaries: CMS Results & Historical Data




#### Ebb Shoal Recovery:

- Cannot remove so much as to force the inlet out of "equilibrium": <4MCY</li>
- Account for inlet recovery by historical evidence for infilling: Rate of volume change (growth) determined from 2001-03 and 2005 mining events







Beck and Legault, 2012


Legault et al., 2012





# Defining Dredging Interval Alternatives for 50-YR Planning Horizon





time (years)
—— 2.2M Removed —— 2.0M Removed —— 1.8M Removed

#### Dredging intensity scenarios considering equal or accretional status of the ebbtidal delta.


Scenario	Dredged Volume	Dredging Interval	Beach Placement Volume	Beach Placement Location & Length
Alt A1	1.0 MCY	5 Years	1.0 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt A2	1.35 MCY	5 Years	1.35 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt A3	2.0 MCY	7 Years	2.0 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
Alt A4	3.0 MCY	10 Years	3.0 MCY	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)





# Refined Nourishment Intervals for 50-YR Planning Horizon





Beach

Optimized beach fill placement scenarios following the results of the Alternative A dredging scenarios.					
Scenario	Dredged Volume	Dredging Interval	Beach Placement Volume		Beach Placement Location &
Scenario	Dreaged volume	Dredging interval Beach Flace		ement volume	Length
Alt B1	1.35 MCY	5 Years	1.35 MCY	70 cy/lft	T132 - R151 (20,000 lft)
4	1.65 MCY (Includes	- 1/	4 05 1401/	40 cy/lft	R109 – R120 (11,000 lft)
Alt B2	Vilano Shoal	5 Years	1.65 MCY	80 cy/lft	T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)
	~300KCY)			oo oy/iii	1137a - K131 (13,000 III)
Alt C1	3.0 MCY	10 Years	3.0 MCY	50 cy/lft	R109 – R120 (11,000 lft)
Alt C1	3.0 IVIC 1	To rears	3.0 IVIC 1	125 cy/lft	T132 – R151 (20,000 lft)
				100 cy/lft	D400 D400 (44 000 lft)
Alt C2	3.0 MCY	10 Years	3.0 MCY	125 cy/lft	R109 – R120 (11,000 lft)  T137a – R151 (15,000 lft)



#### The GenCade Model



GenCade is a one-dimensional (1-D) numerical model that calculates regional coastal change including inlet voumetric evolution.

St. Johns County, Florida **IRM** U.S. Survey Feet 100000 The model is a combination of Genesis, a shoreline change model designed for project-scale engineering studies, and Cascade, a regional alongshore sediment transport model that includes barrier islands and the inlets that separate them.



The combination of the two models, with the addition of the Inlet Reservoir Model, which investigates the sediment sinks in inlets, result in a regional model capable of modeling shoreline change at the structure or project level, up to regional distances on the order of hundreds of kilometers.



# GenCade Reaches of St. Johns Co., FL (R-Monument Profile Locations)





Location	Reach (R-Mon)
Ponte Vedra Beach	R1 – R109
S. Ponte Vedra & Vilano Beach	R109 – R122
St. Augustine Inlet	Ebb & Flood Tidal Deltas
Anastasia Island Headland	R123 – R128
St. Augustine Beach	R128 – R151
Crescent Beach to Matanzas Inlet	R151 – R195







# RESULTS: Ebb-Tidal Delta Volume Change for Alternatives















## **RESULTS: Plotted Shoreline Position on GenCade Grid**














### **Volumetric Results of Alternatives**











### **Summary**



- An analysis of the CMS model results determined that dredging scenarios under 4 MCY removed did not significantly modify the ebb-tidal delta through the 1) elevation and planform extent, 2) sediment transport patterns, or 3) volume flux provided to the adjacent beaches.
- The CMS modeling results provided crucial constructive bounds on the optimized scenarios modeled in GenCade.
- The benefits of coordinating and modifying dredging volumes and intervals can be explored in GenCade simultaneously with varying beach fill volumes and intervals to calculate how sediment sources and sinks evolve over time for future sediment budgets.
- An analysis of the GenCade results found that there is not a sustainable dredging amount and interval for St. Augustine Inlet that will meet the beach fill needs of St. Johns County. At least another 1 MCY/YR is necessary to sustain the present SPP.
- The greatest benefit of this methodology is in determining optimal dredging periods and coordinating regional efforts to save in mobilization and demobilization costs for dredging and beach fill placement.







### **Thank You!**

**Questions?** 

#### Three Part Technical Report Series Published by ERDC/CHL:

Legault K.R. Rosati J.D., Beck T.M., and Engle J. 2012. *St. Johns County, St. Augustine Inlet, FL Report 1: Historical Analysis and Sediment Budget.* Technical Report ERDC-TR-12-XX, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

Beck, T.M. and Legault K.R. 2012. St. Augustine Inlet, Florida: Application of the Coastal Modeling System, Report 2. Technical Report ERDC-TR-12-XX, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

Beck, T.M. and Legault K.R. 2012. Optimization of Ebb Shoal Mining and Beach Nourishment at St. Johns County, St. Augustine Inlet, FL, Report 3. Technical Report ERDC-TR-12-XX, U.S. Army Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

